UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,538	10/11/2005	Sascha D'Angelico	MULL3007/FJD	1521
2550,	7590 12/12/2007		EXAMINER	
BACON & TH 625 SLATERS			SAN MARTIN, JAYDI A	
FOURTH FLO ALEXANDRIA	-		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2834	
ALEM MUDICI	1, 11 2231			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/
			(
V Office Action Summers	10/524,538	D'ANGELICO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jaydi A. San Martin	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mile earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. ply be timely filed I'HS from the mailing date of this communica ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1:	1 October 2005.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 15-28 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers	·		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a Applicant may not request that any objection to	accepted or b) ☐ objected to b		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum. 2. Certified copies of the priority docum. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur. * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

10/524,538 Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 15, 21 and 28 objected to because of the following informalities:
 - In Claim 15:
 - o In line 1 –of—should be deleted.
 - o In line 6-8, it is not clear what the options of placing the oscillating unit are.
 - o In lines 15-16, it is not clear what the applicants want to claim.
 - In claim 21, 'preferably...' is considered as a limitation within a limitation and therefore indefinite.
 - In claim 28, 'for instance a temperature sensor...' is also considered a limitation within limitation, and therefore indefinite.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

10/524,538

Art Unit: 2834

4. Claims 15-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seale (US 5533381).

Seale discloses an apparatus for monitoring a predetermined fill level and/or for determining the density or viscosity of a medium in a container (abstract), comprising: an oscillating unit (piezoelectric oscillators); a driver/receiver unit; feedback electronics; and an evaluation unit, wherein: said oscillating unit is placed according to one of the following: at the height of the predetermined fill level, and such that it reaches to a defined immersion depth into the medium, said driver/receiver unit excites said oscillating unit to oscillate with a predetermined oscillation frequency via said feedback electronics, said evaluation unit detects the reaching of the predetermined fill level on the basis of a frequency change and/or an amplitude change of the oscillation of said oscillating unit, said evaluation unit determines the density or the viscosity of the medium on the basis of a change of the oscillation of the oscillating unit, electronics, a microprocessor (720) is provided, which corrects the phase of said feedback electronics over a predetermined frequency bandwidth in such a manner that the sum of the phases of said feedback electronics and said microprocessor follows a predetermined function f(v) (see column 12, lines 37-64 and column 13-14).

Regarding claims 16-28, Seale anticipates the invention as disclosed in columns 12-14.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaydi A. San Martin whose telephone number is 571-272-2018. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

12/9/07